

Exhibit 1

Document	Exhibit No. and Docket No. from Motion to Seal (if in JA or JAA², also provide JE number and JA page number)³	Exhibit No. and Docket No. of underlying motion/response (if in JA or JAA, provide JE number and JA page number)⁴	Do Plaintiffs agree does not need to be sealed or redacted ?	Do Plaintiffs contend document should be sealed in entirety?	Do Plaintiffs contend should be redacted instead of sealed? If yes, Provide citation to Docket No. and Page ID # (blue CM/ECF-generated page number)⁵ of proposed redaction. Also provide JE number and JA page number if applicable.	Citation to specific paragraph(s) of supporting affidavit/other evidentiary support for sealing/redaction. Or put N/A if Plaintiffs no longer seek to seal or redact.	Has document been filed elsewhere in the record unsealed/unredacted? Yes or no. If yes, provide citation to docket no.
Three-page attachment to March 2, 2021 email from Ridley to ChemTreat with “business plan that [Ridley] prepared.” (CHEMR-000000278)	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat’s Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal); Doc. 275-1, JE-7 (JA-11 – JA-13)	JE-7 (JA-11 – JA-13) in the Joint Appendix filed in connection with the parties’ respective Motions for Summary Judgment	NO	YES	NO	Declaration of Corey DeMarco (“DeMarco Declaration”) at ¶ 10.	YES; Doc. 293-1 at Page ID # 9060-9026 (Plaintiffs’ Memorandum in Support of Motion for Rule 37(e) Spoliation Sanctions)

		(Docs. 255, 259, 262).					
Offer Letter from Plaintiffs to Tyler Bates	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal); Doc. 275-1 JE-21 (JA-58 – JA-60)	JE-21 (JA-58 – JA-60) in the Joint Appendix filed in connection with the parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment (Docs. 255, 259, 262).	NO	NO	YES; Doc. 284-2 at Page ID # 8543-8545.	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 11.	NO
Plaintiff's template for a Program Administration Manual, which contains certain customer information.	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	JE-25 (JA-75 – JA-88) in the Joint Appendix filed in connection with the parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment (Docs. 255, 259, 262); JE-25a (JA-89) (metadata report).	NO	YES	NO	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 12.	NO

Site-specific service plan developed for customer of Plaintiffs.	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	JE-26 (JA-90) in the Joint Appendix filed in connection with the parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment (Docs. 255, 259, 262); JE-26a (JA-91) (metadata report).	NO	NO	YES; Doc. 284-2 at Page ID # 8546.	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 13.	NO
Plaintiffs' internal PowerPoint presentation used to educate personnel on best practices for dealing with closed loop systems.	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	JE-27 (JA-92 – JA-127) in the Joint Appendix filed in connection with the parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment (Docs. 255, 259, 262); JE-27a (JA-128) (metadata report).	NO	YES	NO	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 14.	NO

Personal service report prepared for customer of Plaintiffs.	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	JE-28 (JA-129 – JA-130) in the Joint Appendix filed in connection with the parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment (Docs. 255, 259, 262); JE-28a (JA-131) (metadata report).	NO	NO	YES; Doc. 284-2 at Page ID # 8547-8549.	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 15.	NO
Summary of a proposed agreement between Plaintiffs and customer.	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	JE-29 (JA-132 – JA-138) in the Joint Appendix filed in connection with the parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment (Docs. 255, 259, 262); JE-29a (JA-139) (metadata report).	NO	YES	NO (a redacted copy of the metadata report, with the customer name redacted, was filed at Doc. 284-2 at Page ID # 8550.	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 16.	NO

Billing Agreement and Scope of Work document prepared by Plaintiffs for customer.	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	JE-30 (JA-140) in the Joint Appendix filed in connection with the parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment (Docs. 255, 259, 262); JE-30a (JA-141) (metadata report).	NO	NO	YES; Doc. 284-2 at Page ID # 8551-8552.	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 17.	NO
Expense report.	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	JE-31 (JA-142 – JA-145) in the Joint Appendix filed in connection with the parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment (Docs. 255, 259, 262); JE-31a (JA-146) (metadata report).	YES	NO	YES; Doc. 284-2 at Page ID # 8553-8556.	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 18.	NO

Quote for Plaintiffs' sales price for equipment to customer.	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	JE-32 (JA-147) in the Joint Appendix filed in connection with the parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment (Docs. 255, 259, 262); JE-32a (JA-148) (metadata report).	NO	NO	YES; Doc. 284-2 at Page ID 8557-8558.	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 19.	NO
Plaintiffs' PowerPoint containing key information regarding sales strategy for particular product.	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	JE-33 (JA-149 – JA-156) in the Joint Appendix filed in connection with the parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment (Docs. 255, 259, 262); JE-33a (JA-157) (metadata report).	NO	NO	YES; Doc. 284-2 at Page ID 8559-8566.	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 20.	NO

Score card used in conjunction with training Plaintiffs' sales personnel.	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	JE-34 (JA-158) in the Joint Appendix filed in connection with the parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment (Docs. 255, 259, 262); JE-34a (JA-159) (metadata report).	NO	NO	YES; Doc. 284-2 at Page ID 8567.	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 21.	NO
Technical notes associated with customer service visit.	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	JE-35 (JA-160 – JA-163) in the Joint Appendix filed in connection with the parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment (Docs. 255, 259, 262); JE-55a (JA-164) (metadata report).	NO	NO	YES; Doc. 284-2 at Page ID 8568-8572.	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 22.	NO

PowerPoint presentation prepared for meeting with customer.	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	JE-36 (JA-165 – JA-196) in the Joint Appendix filed in connection with the parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment (Docs. 255, 259, 262); JE-36a (JA-197) (metadata report).	NO	NO	YES; Doc. 284-2 at Page ID 8573-8605.	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 23.	NO
Template for proposal for services for use with prospective clients.	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	JE-38 (JA-200 – JA-204) in the Joint Appendix filed in connection with the parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment (Docs. 255, 259, 262); JE-38a (JA-205) (metadata report).	NO	NO	YES; Doc. 284-2 at Page ID 8606-8610.	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 24.	NO

Template for proposed remote service plan for use with actual and prospective customers.	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	JE-39 (JA206 – JA-213) in the Joint Appendix filed in connection with the parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment (Docs. 255, 259, 262); JE-39a (JA-214) (metadata report).	NO	NO	YES; Doc. 284-2 at Page ID 8611-8618.	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 25.	NO
Expert report of Dana M. Trexler, Plaintiffs' damages expert.	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	JE-302 (JA-795 – JA-890) in the Joint Appendix filed in connection with the parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment (Docs. 255, 259, 262).	NO	YES	NO	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 26.	NO
Expert report of Dana M. Trexler, Plaintiffs' damages expert.	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated	Ex. 1; Doc. 266 (ChemTreat's Motion to	NO	YES	NO	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 26.	NO

	Motion to File Under Seal)	Exclude Trade Secrets Damages Opinions of Dana M. Trexler)					
Data Loss Prevention Report generated by Plaintiffs	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	Ex. 3; Doc. 266 (ChemTreat's Motion to Exclude Trade Secrets Damages Opinions of Dana M. Trexler)	NO	YES	NO	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 29.	Yes; Doc. 293-6 at Page ID # 9127-9457 (Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Support of Motion for Rule 37(e) Spoliation Sanctions). In addition, a list of the file names from the DLP report was filed at Doc. 225-6 at Page ID # 4062-4388 (Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Support of their Motion to Compel Ridley's Compliance with Plaintiffs' Rule 34 Request to Inspect Ridley's WD Drive and Other Devices and Accounts).
Expert Rebuttal Report of Douglas Ellis	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	Ex. 4; Doc. 266 (ChemTreat's Motion to Exclude Trade Secrets	NO	YES	NO	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 26.	NO

		Damages Opinions of Dana M. Trexler)					
Exhibit to Expert Report of Dana M. Trexler (customer- specific financial information)	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	Ex. 5; Doc. 266 (ChemTreat's Motion to Exclude Trade Secrets Damages Opinions of Dana M. Trexler)	NO	YES	NO	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 27.	NO
Exhibit to Expert Report of Dana M. Trexler (customer- specific financial information)	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	Ex. 6; Doc. 266 (ChemTreat's Motion to Exclude Trade Secrets Damages Opinions of Dana M. Trexler)	NO	YES	NO	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 27.	NO
Excerpt from Deposition of Karry Mackie	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	Ex. 4; Doc. 266 (ChemTreat's Motion to Exclude Trade Secrets Damages Opinions of	YES	YES	NO	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 27.	NO

		Dana M. Trexler)					
Expert Report of Laurence D. Lieb	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	Ex. 1, Doc. 257 (ChemTreat's Motion to Exclude Certain Opinions of Laurence D. Lieb)	YES	NO	YES; Doc. 284-1 at Page ID # 8528-8541	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 29.	Yes; Doc. 225-14 at Page ID # 4429-4442 (Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Support of their Motion to Compel Ridley's Compliance with Plaintiffs' Rule 34 Request to Inspect Ridley's WD Drive and Other Devices and Accounts); Doc. 293-6 at Page ID # 9099-9112 (Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Support of Motion for Rule 37(e) Spoliation Sanctions).
Plaintiffs' IT Procedure for Data Security Incident	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	Ex. 6, Doc. 257 (ChemTreat's Motion to Exclude Certain Opinions of Laurence D. Lieb)	YES	NO	NO	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 28.	NO
Data Loss Prevention Report generated by Plaintiffs	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated	Ex. 7, Doc. 257 (ChemTreat's Motion to	YES	NO	NO	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 29.	Yes; Doc. 293-6 at Page ID # 9127-9457 (Plaintiffs' Memorandum in

	Motion to File Under Seal)	Exclude Certain Opinions of Laurence D. Lieb)					Support of Motion for Rule 37(e) Spoliation Sanctions). In addition, a list of the file names from the DLP report was filed at Doc. 225-6 at Page ID # 4062-4388 (Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Support of their Motion to Compel Ridley's Compliance with Plaintiffs' Rule 34 Request to Inspect Ridley's WD Drive and Other Devices and Accounts).
Office 365 Audit Log generated by Plaintiffs	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	Ex. 9, Doc. 257 (ChemTreat's Motion to Exclude Certain Opinions of Laurence D. Lieb)	YES	NO	NO	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 29.	NO
Plaintiffs' IT Procedure for Data Security Incident	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	Exhibit 12, Doc. 268 (ChemTreat's Motion for Sanctions under Rule 37(b)(2)	YES	NO	NO	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 28.	NO

Plaintiffs' IT Procedure for Data Security Incident	Doc. 272 (ChemTreat's Consolidated Motion to File Under Seal)	Exhibit 15, Doc. 276 (ChemTreat's Motion for Sanctions for Spoliation)	YES	NO	NO	DeMarco Declaration at ¶ 28.	NO
Excerpt of Customer Business Plan (PLAINTIFFSR-000001517-18, PLAINTIFFSR-000001527)	Doc. 289 (ChemTreat's Motion to File Under Seal)	JE-306 (JAA-991- JAA-993), Doc. 288 (ChemTreat's Response in Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment filed as to Defendant Ridley)	YES	NO	NO	DeMarco Declaration at ¶¶ 30-31.	NO

²JA = Joint Appendix, JAA = Joint Appendix Addendum, JE = Joint Exhibit

³This column should indicate where the document is attached to Doc. 275 (i.e., 275-1, 275-2, etc.) or if the document is part of Doc. 290. If Doc. 275-1 or Doc. 290, also indicate the "JE" number and JA page number.

⁴Indicate all underlying motions/responses that document is filed in support of and their docket numbers and exhibit numbers. If the document is filed in support of a summary judgement brief/response that refers to the JA or JAA, then the docket no. will be Doc. 255-2 or Doc. 288-2, followed by the JE number and JA page number.

⁵This would be either Doc. No. 284-1 or Doc 284-2, then the Page ID #.

Exhibit 1